

And, Or, Not -- and Why Order Saves You from NPE

Real verdicts combine conditions. && short-circuits: check null first, then use the thing. Reverse the order and you get the NullPointerException Chapter 2 taught you to read.

Automation Foundations · ashishautomationlabs.store

Real verdicts are rarely single-condition. "Ship it if the build is green **and** there are no blockers **and** the smoke suite passed." Java gives you three operators to build those:

- && -- AND. True only when both sides are true.
- || -- OR. True when at least one side is true.
- ! -- NOT. Flips true to false and false to true.

```
if (buildIsGreen && smokePassed) { /* both must hold */ }
if (isBlocker || isSecurityIssue) { /* either one is enough */ }
if (!isBlocker) { /* true when NOT a blocker */ }
```

That is the easy part. Now the part that matters -- and that almost no beginner book explains properly, even though it saves you from crashes every single day.

Short-circuit: Java is lazy, and that laziness protects you

When Java evaluates A && B, it checks A first. If A is false, the whole thing is false no matter what B says -- so Java does **not** evaluate B at all.

Same for ||: when A is true, B is never evaluated.

This is **short-circuit evaluation**. It is easy to think of it as a small speed improvement. It is much more than that: it is a safety mechanism. Here is the single most useful pattern in this chapter:

```
public class D {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        String defectId = null;
        if (defectId != null && defectId.startsWith("DEF-")) {
            System.out.println("Valid defect id.");
        } else {
            System.out.println("No defect id to check.");
        }
    }
}
```

No defect id to check.

No crash. Trace it: `defectId != null` is false. Java short-circuits -- it never evaluates `defectId.startsWith("DEF-")`, so it never follows the null arrow from Chapter 2. The `NullPointerException` that was waiting simply does not happen.

Now reverse the order, and the protection disappears:

```
if (defectId.startsWith("DEF-") && defectId != null) {  
  
Exception in thread "main" java.lang.NullPointerException:  
Cannot invoke "String.startsWith(String)" because "<local1>" is null
```

Same two conditions. Same `&&`. Different order -- and now a crash, because Java evaluated the left side first and followed a null arrow. The null check came after the thing that needed it, which is like checking whether the test environment exists after you have already tried to log into it.

Rule you will use for the rest of your career: check for null first, then use the thing. Write `if (x != null && x.something())`, never the reverse. This exact pattern appears in every automation framework you will ever read -- `if (element != null && element.isDisplayed())` is what separates a suite that survives a slow page from one that crashes on it.

The look-alikes: & and |

Java also has single `&` and single `|`. They produce the same true or false answer -- but they **always** evaluate both sides, even when the answer is already decided.

```
public class E {  
    static boolean check(String name, boolean result) {  
        System.out.println("-> running check: " + name);  
        return result;  
    }  
  
    public static void main(String[] args) {  
        System.out.println("Using && :");  
        if (check("A", false) && check("B", true)) { }  
        System.out.println("Using & :");  
        if (check("A", false) & check("B", true)) { }  
    }  
}
```

```
Using && :  
-> running check: A  
Using & :  
-> running check: A  
-> running check: B
```

With `&&`, check B never ran. With `&`, check B ran anyway. Now imagine check B was `defectId.startsWith(...)` and `defectId` was null: with `&&` you are safe, with `&` you crash.

In conditions, always use `&&` and `||`. Single `&` and `|` have uses elsewhere; inside an `if`, typing one instead of two is a defect that will hurt you sooner or later.

You can combine judgments, and you know why null-check-first is not style -- it is crash prevention. The next lesson is two more silent traps: an `else if` chain in the wrong order, and comparing decimals with `==`.